

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,185	11/29/2001		Albert Rabinovich	EH-10417	1030	
30188	7590	03/03/2004		EXAMINER		
PRATT & V		••••		YEE, DE	BORAH	
400 MAIN STREET MAIL STOP: 132-13				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST HAR		Г 06108		1742		
				DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				yv
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/683,185		RABINOVICH ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Deborah Ye		1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event,  by within the statutor  will apply and will expand the applications.	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) day wrire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	ly. communication.
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 № 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition	s action is nor ince except fo	i-final. r formal matters, pro	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 to 3, 5 to 10, and 12 to 36 is/are pe  4a) Of the above claim(s) 34 to 36 is/are withd  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 to 3, 5 to 10 and 12 to 33 is/are rej  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ected.	nsideration.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) edrawing(s) be ction is required	held in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 (	DFR 1.121(d) PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been ority documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat ts have been receiv 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this Nationa	al Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-10-03.	)	Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D  Notice of Informal  Other:		TO-152)

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

### Election/Restrictions

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 10, 2003 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 34 to 36 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 34 to 36 are directed to a method of quenching a nickel alloy by impingement cooling and reducing said cooling at the exit temperature range of a ductility trough whereas the present invention is directed to an apparatus and process of cooling a material having a first section and a second section by impingement cooling said first section with a fluid to increase a cooling rate of said first section relative to a cooling rate of said second section.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 34 to 36 are withdrawn from

Art Unit: 1742

consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 3,5 to 10, and 12 to 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bunge (US Patent 6,394,793).

Bunge on lines 8 to 67 of column 3 and lines 1 to 20 of column 4 discloses a method and apparatus for cooling heat-treated metallic work pieces by using a set of concentric air quench delivery tubes, for directing a compressed air quench onto specified areas of the work piece for cooling, which closely meets claims 1 to 3, 5 to 10 and 12 to 33. Note that the prior art discloses a first set of tubes located above the work piece (first section) and a second set of tubes located below the work pieces(second section). The air quench tubes are placed in close proximity to the relatively thicker and more massive portion (first section) thereby increasing the cooling rate relative to the cooling rate of the thinner and less massive portions (second section).

Moreover, in regard to claims 8 to 10 and 12 to 14, the first cooling rate is supplemented with additional cooling by increasing the number of tubes or modifying

Art Unit: 1742

the air pressure rate and positioning of cooling tubes so that the differential between the first cooling and second cooling rate is minimized, see lines 28 to 38, column 9.

Also Bunge on lines 20 to 49, column 3, discloses an apparatus for quenching a material, the material having a first section and second section, wherein the apparatus comprises a support for receiving the material, and an outlet adjacent said support for impinging a cooling gas against the first section of the material, so that a cooling rate of the first section increases relative to a cooling rate of the second section. Moreover, Bunge discloses on lines 1 to 30, column 6, that distancing, shape, and positioning, and quantity of cooling tubes are critical to control cooling rate. Although Bunge does not disclose the actual numerical diameter and circumference size of the outlets or the spacing and number of outlets as recited by claims 16 to 19, such would not be a patentable difference since it would be a matter of routine optimization well within the skill of the artisan and productive of no new and unexpected results to determine tubular parameters for desired cooling rate.

Furthermore it is the examiner's position that Bunge discloses impingement cooling because he uses a compression gas (equivalent to force convection) at different pressures wherein higher pressure produces a higher cooling rate (equivalent to higher heat transfer coefficient).

Also even though prior art does not teach a heat transfer coefficients up to approximately 300 BTU/hrft2F as recited by claims 23, 26, 29 and 33, such would expected since similar cooling means are used. Note that applicant's on pages 7 and 8, paragraph 48 discloses cooling with compressed air at a pressure of 45 and 75psig.

Art Unit: 1742

Similarly, Bunge on lines 20 to 25 in column 8 disclose cooling with compressed air up to 100psig. Moreover, the air pressure value would be a matter of routine optimization well within the skill of the artisan depending upon the demands and desires sought for the quenching process.

In regard to claims 24, 25 27, 28, Bunge on lines 5 to 19 in column 1 discloses cooling parts having complex shapes with different volumes and surfaces, and thus teaches cooling larger volumes at a faster cooling rate than the lower volume sections in order to have uniform cooling.

Moreover similar to claims 31 to 33, Bunge in figures 4 and 5 show that his process produces a greater heat transfer coefficient greater than those created by oil bath quenching.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244.

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*dy

DEBORAHYEE PRIMARY EXAMINER